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**Abstract** We propose the weighted stochastic block model (WSBM) as generative model for the financial networks and exploit the topological features of its blocks. This model considers both the edge existence and the edge weight of the network and is independent from the methodology implemented on the estimation of the network. In this paper, we discuss three specifications of the model with by analysing the European financial network.

**Abstract** In questo lavoro, viene proposto il modello a blocchi stocastici pesato (WSBM) come modello generativo per i network finanziari dove vengono esplorate le caratteristiche topologiche dei blocchi. Questo modello considera sia il peso che l’esistenza del legame ed è indipendente dalla metodologia di stima del network. In questo articolo, discutiamo tre specifiche del modello analizzando il network finanziario Europeo.

**Key words:** financial networks, stochastic block model

1 Introduction

The study of the financial network topologies and connectedness provides useful tools in monitoring financial stability.
Nodes exhibit often the propensity to be clustered into groups which are internally densely connected but show fewer connections outside. This feature is known as community structure or network modularity [Newman, 2010]. The aim of this paper is to investigate the network topology with focus on the detection of blocks in a broader sense where nodes represents financial assets. The communities are obtained through the Weighted Stochastic Block Model (WSBM) introduced recently by [Aicher et al., 2014] which allows through the blocks to have a compact characterization of the network’s structure. This network generative model considers both the edge existence and the edge weight of the network and represents a generalization of the SBM [Holland et al., 1983] which is independent from the methodology implemented on the estimation of the network. By analysing the European financial institutions, we compare three specifications of the model such as the Stochastic Block Model (SBM), the balanced Weighted Stochastic Block Model (WSBM) and the pure WSBM (pWSBM).

2 Weighted Stochastic Block Models

The likelihood function of the basic Stochastic Block Model (SBM) is:

$$L(A|z, \theta) = \prod_{ij} \theta^{A_{ij}}(1 - \theta^{z_i z_j})^{1 - A_{ij}}$$

where $A$ is an adjacency matrix of the network, which contains binary values representing edge existence, $A_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$, $z$ is a vector that contains the group label of each node $z_i \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$, where $K$ is the number of latent groups. For example, if $A$ is an $n \times n$ adjacency matrix then $z$ is a $(1 \times n)$ vector such as $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_i, \ldots, z_n)$. Therefore, vector $z$ represents the partition of the nodes into $K$ blocks and each pair of groups $k k'$ represents a bundle of edge between the groups. The parameter $\theta$ in Equation 1 represents a $(K \times K)$ matrix and its elements represent the edge existence parameters, $\theta^{z_i z_j}$, of each edge bundle. The existence probability of an edge $A_{ij}$ is given by the parameter $\theta^{z_i z_j}$ that depends only the membership of nodes $i$ and $j$. In Equation 1 $A_{ij}$ is conditionally independent given $z$ and $\theta$. Number of latent groups, $K$, is a free parameter that must be chosen before the model and it controls model’s complexity. The model can be also expressed as an exponential family:

$$\mathcal{L}(A|z, \theta) \propto \exp \left( \sum_{ij} T(A_{ij}) \cdot \eta(\theta^{z_i z_j}) \right)$$

where $T(x) = (x, 1)$ is the vector-valued function of sufficient statistics of the Bernoulli random variable and $\eta(x) = (\log(x/(1-x)), \log(1-x))$ is the vector-valued function of natural parameters.

This is a basic and classical SBM for unweighted networks since, as they are defined, the functions $(T, \eta)$ produces binary edge values. With a different and ap-
appropriate functions of $(T, \eta)$, a specific form of Weighted Stochastic Block Model (WSBM) can be established by weights that are drawn from an exponential family distribution over the domain of $T$. In this case, each $\theta_{z \varepsilon_j}$ denotes the parameters governing the weight distribution of the edge bundle $(z \varepsilon_j)$. However, the models SBM and WSBM that presented above, produce complete graphs. In order to model sparse networks by SBM and WSBM, [Aicher et al., 2014] assumes $A_{ij} = 0$ as a directed edge from node $i$ to $j$ is existed with zero weight. In this case, to denote absence of an edge from node $i$ to $j$ is $A_{ij} = \text{NaN}$. By this, sparse networks can be modelled with two types of information, edge existence and edge weight values, in together by a simple tuning parameter with the following way:

$$\log Z(A | z, \theta) = \alpha \left( \sum_{i,j \in E} T_e(A_{ij}) \cdot \eta_e(\theta^{(e)}_{z \varepsilon_j}) \right) + (1 - \alpha) \left( \sum_{i,j \in W} T_w(A_{ij}) \cdot \eta_e(\theta^{(w)}_{z \varepsilon_j}) \right)$$

(3)

where the pair $(T_e, \eta_e)$ denotes the family of edge existence distribution and the pair $(T_w, \eta_w)$ denotes the family of edge-weight distribution, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is a simple tuning parameter that combines their contributions in the likelihood function. $E$ is the set of observed interactions (including non-edges) and $W$ is the set of weighted edges with $W \subset E$. It is possible to see in Equation 3 that if $\alpha = 1$ then the model reduces to SBM, to Equation 1, and if $\alpha = 0$ the model ignores edge existence information then we call such models as pure WSBM (pWSBM). When $0 < \alpha < 1$, the likelihood function combines both information set, i.e. it is called balanced WSBM if $\alpha = 0.5$.

3 An application to the European financial market

We provide an application of the model and analyse the European financial institutions using the closing price series from Worldscope lists downloaded in Datastream at a daily frequency from 29th May 1997 to 27th May 1998. The network is estimated through Granger causality tests [Billio et al., 2012]. In SBM ($\alpha = 1$), Figure 1, the most systematically important community is Block 3 where the members have the highest out degree. However, there are not particular edge weight characteristics in SBM ($\alpha = 1$) communities. In balanced WSBM ($\alpha = 0.5$) the most important community is Block 2.
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